

LEG 2002-0365

May 10, 2002

California Energy Commission
Docket Unit, MS-4
Attn: Docket No.: 01-AFC-19
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: Docket No. 01-AFC-19

Dear Clerk:

Enclosed are the original and 12 copies for docketing of Applicant Sacramento Municipal Utility District's Comments in Response to the Committee's Notice of Hearing on Project Status in the above-referenced matter. Please return an endorsed copy in the enclosed stamped, self-addressed envelope.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any questions, please contact me at 916-732-6121. My FAX number is 916-732-6581 and my email address is scohn@smud.org.

Sincerely,

/ &/

Steve Cohn
Senior Attorney

/dm

Enclosures

cc: Service List
Corporate Files

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STATE ENERGY RESOURCES
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 01-AFC-19
)	
Application for Certification)	SMUD’S COMMENTS IN
of the Sacramento Municipal)	RESPONSE TO THE
Utility District’s Cosumnes)	COMMITTEE’S NOTICE OF
Power Plant Project)	HEARING ON PROJECT STATUS
_____)	

The Sacramento Municipal Utility District (“SMUD”) thanks the Committee for this opportunity to comment on the status of the Cosumnes Power Plant (“CPP”) Project Application for Certification (“AFC”) and related permitting proceedings.

Since the Committee’s Informational Hearing/Site Visit on December 19, 2001, SMUD has filed volumes of data, analyses, explanations, graphs, figures, spreadsheets, aerial photographs, and other documents and materials in response to four rounds of data requests, totaling 257 questions submitted by the CEC staff. In addition, SMUD has filed two AFC supplements, making some adjustments to the plant configuration to mitigate impacts to neighbors and giving more detail on the 25-mile gas pipeline design and location.

SMUD and CEC staff have also held a couple of workshops, attended by two intervenors, and staff from several regulatory agencies, including the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). SMUD has also been actively engaged in providing information and is in the process of obtaining necessary approvals from the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD) and other air districts in the Sacramento region and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (CVRWQCB).

For over 50 years, SMUD customers have enjoyed the benefits of a community-owned electric utility: lower electric rates, local control of their utility and one of the nation’s cleanest energy mixes. Though SMUD did not emerge unscathed from the energy crisis of 2000-2001 -- having to raise its rates for the first time in 10 years -- SMUD’s independence from direct CPUC regulation, SMUD’s balanced supply portfolio, and wide array of energy efficiency and renewable programs insulated SMUD customers from some of the worst aspects

of energy deregulation. But neither SMUD nor the State can afford to be complacent in the face of volatile energy markets and potential future supply shortages.

The CPP project is the most important addition to SMUD's electrical system in over 30 years. It will help meet the growing power demands of SMUD's residential, commercial, and industrial customer-owners by providing them with a cost-effective, long-term power supply. In addition, it will ensure against fluctuating market prices and provide voltage support and local reliability.

The CPP project will replace SMUD's existing higher cost power purchase agreements starting with the 2005 summer peak season. Due to the importance of the CPP project to SMUD and its customer-owners, it is critical that the Committee maintain the 12-month AFC schedule. In order to achieve operation by spring 2005, SMUD absolutely needs to start construction by January 2003. Therefore, staying on schedule to obtain CEC certification by mid-November 2002 is critical.

To keep the CPP project on schedule on the development side, SMUD has already invested millions of dollars and thousands of hours of staff and consultant resources for planning, design, engineering, and environmental work. SMUD has retained Utility Engineering Corporation for detailed power plant engineering and procurement of custom-engineered equipment, and is in the process of hiring a construction and right-of-way engineer for the gas pipeline. More important, SMUD has entered into binding contracts and made substantial non-refundable payments to purchase approximately \$150 million worth of major power plant equipment: General Electric ("GE") for the combustion turbines, Mitsubishi for the steam turbine, and Nooter-Erickson for the heat recovery steam generators. These contracts have significant termination penalties. It is necessary to enter into such contracts at this stage to ensure their delivery in a timely manner to meet the spring 2005 completion date.

SMUD's Proposed Schedule in Attachment A offers a way to keep the AFC and related permitting processes on track as well. It differs somewhat from the original AFC schedule that CEC staff presented at the Issue Identification Conference in December 2001 ("Original Schedule"). The Original Schedule contemplated that the Preliminary Staff Assessment (PSA) would be issued in April, the Final Staff Assessment (FSA) in June, and evidentiary hearings held in July, leaving four months for briefing, issuance of the Proposed Decision, comments, and adoption of the Final Decision.

SMUD's Proposed Schedule coincides with the Original Schedule up through Item 12. However, because of various regulatory delays, particularly with the SMAQMD and the CVRWQCB reviews, the Proposed Schedule starts to slip a bit after Item 12. Nonetheless, both CEC staff and SMUD have agreed that

the PSA should be issued on May 17, 2002. Both the Original and the Proposed Schedules would allow for the Commission to consider adoption of the final decision at the Business Meeting on November 13, 2002.

Attachment B to these comments updates the status report that SMUD filed on April 24, 2002, which addressed all of the issue areas identified in the CEC Staff's Status Report #1, filed on April 10, 2002.

Attachment C provides a matrix of all data requests and data responses. Out of the nearly 300 data requests so far, SMUD has already responded to virtually all of them. Only a handful of data requests are still awaiting a response, and Attachment C indicates when such data will be provided.

As evidenced by the volume and quality of the data submitted by SMUD to date and its tremendous outreach efforts, SMUD has done its part to keep this proceeding on schedule. SMUD looks to the Committee to ensure that the CEC staff and all other regulatory agencies stay on task and on schedule.

SMUD strongly believes that the Staff's proposed May 17, 2002 distribution date for the PSA must be maintained. Although SMUD recognizes that the Staff's analysis in some issue areas will be incomplete when the PSA is issued on May 17, 2002, and that other agencies will need to be prodded to complete their reviews in a timely manner thereafter, SMUD believes that issuance of the PSA at this time is necessary to maintain the AFC schedule and to give all parties adequate time to consider the Staff's proposed conditions of certification, and negotiate possible changes or additions to those conditions.

SMUD needs to know as soon as possible if the CEC is going to deny certification or impose conditions such as dry cooling that would make the project uneconomic and infeasible, or even impose other conditions that, while feasible, could nonetheless result in design changes or delays. In that way, SMUD can minimize the costs of canceling the project on the one hand, or changing the project design on the other.

Finally, SMUD is committed to mitigating the project's potential effects on its immediate neighbors, particularly the impacts associated with construction traffic and the school bus routes for both Arcohe Union Elementary and Galt Joint Union High School. To mitigate these impacts and to address concerns expressed by the public, SMUD is proposing an alternate project construction route for the project site. This route will redirect construction traffic around the inhabited portion of Clay East Road by using the existing main entrance to Rancho Seco and constructing a back road to the uninhabited eastern end of Clay East Road. Information on this route was submitted on April 15, 2002 as AFC Supplement B. SMUD is also appraising the land adjacent to the plant site in preparation for an offer to purchase the trailer who would be most affected by the project's noise.

In conclusion, SMUD looks forward to working with the CEC, other agencies, and all interested parties to resolve issues in this proceeding in a timely and productive manner, so that we can start generating the needed power from this project as soon as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

ARLEN S. ORCHARD, General Counsel
STEVEN M. COHN, Senior Attorney
LOURDES JIMENEZ-PRICE, Attorney

Dated: _____

_____/_____-

STEVEN M. COHN
Sacramento Municipal Utility District
P.O. Box 15830, M.S. B406
Sacramento, CA 95852-1830
Phone: 916-732-5847
FAX: 916-732-6581
Email: scohn@smud.org

JANE E. LUCKHARDT, Attorney
Downey Brand Seymour & Rohwer
555 Capitol Mall, 10th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814-4686
Phone: 916-441-0131
FAX: 916-441-4021

Attorneys for SMUD

Attachments:

- A. Proposed Schedule**
- B. Status of Specific Issues**
- C. Data Request/Response Matrix**

ATTACHMENT A
SMUD'S PROPOSED SCHEDULE

Item	Activity	Day	Calendar Day	Done
1	SMUD files Application for Certification (AFC)	-62	9/13/01	✓
2	Exec. Director's data adequacy recommendation	-5	11/9/01	✓
3	Comm. Data Adequacy Decision at Bus. Meeting	0	11/14/01	✓
4	Staff filed data requests (round 1)	26	12/10/01	✓
5	Staff files Issues Identification Report	28	12/12/01	✓
6	Informational hearing, site visit	35	12/19/01	✓
7	Staff files data request (round 2)	51	1/4/02	✓
8	SMUD files data request responses (round 1)	56	1/9/02	✓
9	Data response and issue resolution workshop (round 1)	70	1/23-24/02	✓
10	SMUD files revised System Impact Study	72	1/25/02	✓
11	SMUD files data request responses (round 2)	82	2/4/02	✓
12	SMUD files AFC Supplement A	121	3/15/02	✓
13	Staff files data request (round 3)	142	4/5/02	✓
14	Staff files Status Report 1	147	4/10/02	✓
15	Staff files data requests (round 4)	147	4/10/02	✓
16	SMUD files AFC Supplement B	152	4/15/02	✓
17	SMUD files partial data request responses (round 3)	152	4/15/02	✓
18	SMUD files Status Report 1	159	4/22/02	✓
19	SMUD files data request responses (round 3)	173	5/6/02	✓
20	SMUD files data request responses (round 4)	177	5/10/02	✓
21	Committee Hearing on Project Status	181	5/14/02	✓
22	Workshop on Waste Management	182	5/15/02	
23	Preliminary Staff Assessment (PSA) filed	184	5/17/02	
24	Local, state, and federal agency draft actions	195	5/28/02	
25	Feather River AQMD Approval of Interdistrict ERC Transfer	201	6/3/02	
26	Yolo-Solano AQMD Approval of Interdistrict ERC Transfer	210	6/12/02	
27	Preliminary Staff Assessment workshops	205-219	June 7, 2002 – June 21, 2002	
28	Sacramento AQMD Approval of Interdistrict ERC Transfer	212	6/14/02?	
29	Hearing on Power Plant Cooling	222	6/24/02	
30	PDOC issued; and other local, state, and federal agencies' final proposed mitigation	226	6/28/02	
31	Final Staff Assessment filed	243	7/15/02	
32	Evidentiary Hearings	257-268	July 29, 2002 – August 9, 2002	
33	Business Meeting to Consider Final Decision	363	11/13/02	

ATTACHMENT B

446460.1

SMUD COSUMNES POWER PLANT PROJECT (01-AFC-19) STATUS OF SPECIFIC ISSUES

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

To ensure that sufficient gas can be delivered for Phase II of the project, SMUD proposed that two gas compressors be installed on SMUD's existing 52-mile natural gas pipeline at its interconnect point in Winters and near the CPP pipeline connection in Elk Grove. The Staff has requested additional information about the gas compressors, and on April 15, 2002, SMUD provided this information to the Staff as AFC Supplement B. The information covered all technical areas analyzed by the CEC.

To mitigate potential impacts associated with construction traffic and the school bus routes for both Arcohe Union Elementary and Galt Joint Union High School, as well as to address concerns expressed by the public, SMUD is proposing an alternate project construction route for the project site. This route will redirect construction traffic around the inhabited portion of Clay East Road by using the main entrance to Rancho Seco and constructing a back road to the uninhabited eastern end of Clay East Road. Information on this route was submitted on April 15, 2002 as AFC Supplement B.

AIR QUALITY

On May 6, 2002, SMUD submitted information to the CEC on its air emission offsets package. Several of the Staff's concerns regarding the project's ERCs were addressed. Specifically, on April 11, 2002, the Placer County Board of Supervisors approved SMUD's use of the ERCs derived from Placer County. The Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District previously stated that this approval was necessary prior to the issuance of its Preliminary Determination of Compliance (PDOC). The Feather River AQMD is scheduled to consider approval of interdistrict ERCs on June 3, and the Yolo-Solano AQMD on June 12, 2002.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

As stated in the Staff's Status Report, SMUD is preparing a presence/absence testing plan for culturally sensitive areas along the gas pipeline route. SMUD has submitted the testing plan to the CEC staff and is working with them to incorporate staff's comments. In addition, SMUD has been working with representatives of the Miwok tribe to address their concerns. On April 10, 2002,

SMUD docketed a letter from the Lone Band of Miwoks stating that the tribe will assume responsibility for cultural oversight and will have monitors present during the presence/absence testing and project construction.

Completion of the presence/absence testing (originally scheduled for the week of April 22) was rescheduled due to the death of one of the tribe members. SMUD will continue to work with the CEC and the Miwok tribe to determine appropriate mitigation for impacts to cultural resources. SMUD has come to agreement with CEC staff regarding cultural mitigation with the exception of the Hicksville Cemetery area, which is being resolved. Geophysical work for the Arno Townsite and the presence/absence testing of the other sensitive areas will be done in the next couple of weeks pending arrangements with the property owners and tribe members.

NOISE

On April 15, 2002, SMUD filed the noise modeling analyses for the revised site arrangement and the natural gas compressor stations required for Phase 2 of the project. There is no increase in plant noise levels due to the change in general arrangement (addressed in AFC Supplement A). The gas compressors will be housed within acoustical barriers or an acoustical enclosure to ensure that the noise from normal operation of the gas compressors results in less than a 5-dBA increase in the average L90 at the nearest sensitive receptor.

Data Response #220 was filed on May 6th. It addressed noise impacts at the nearest receptor (assuming the existing trailer is relocated). Based on SMUD's analysis, the ambient noise level from 10 pm to 7 am is 39 dBA. The plant noise at the closest residence is 45 dBA [and 42 dBA at the next closest residence (i.e., Kathy Peasha)]. The project complies with the County's noise ordinance. Nonetheless, SMUD is appraising the land adjacent to the plant site in preparation for an offer to purchase the trailer that would be most affected by the project's noise.

PUBLIC HEALTH

In March, SMUD provided the Staff with a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) for the project site. The site assessment indicated that there are no areas of environmental concern warranting further investigation. However, the Staff has requested additional information regarding conclusions/recommendations. SMUD submitted a revised Phase I ESA on April 15, 2002, specifically stating the conclusion that no further action is warranted. The type of sampling requested by staff is typical of a Phase II site assessment, which, in this case, is not warranted given the Phase I ESA results. On April 15,

SMUD provided additional documentation of the lack of radiological contamination of the CPP site.

The CEC Staff and SMUD are arranging a meeting with representatives of the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) regarding DTSC's request for a Phase I ESA along the 26-mile natural gas pipeline. This meeting is scheduled for May 15th. It is SMUD's understanding that the DTSC is concerned about workers' being exposed to railroad-contaminated soil during construction of that portion of the gas pipeline that parallels the railroad tracks. However, it is SMUD's opinion that Phase II-type soil testing is not warranted unless there is a specific area of concern. SMUD also does not believe that Phase II testing is warranted because the gas line will be more than 35 feet from the railroad track centerline. More recently, DTSC seems to want SMUD to examine historical aerial photos to look for possible sources of contamination, such as crop dusting airstrips. In the AFC, SMUD set forth a process to deal with contamination discovered in the course of construction. These issues will be discussed at the workshop with DTSC.

TRANSMISSION SYSTEM ENGINEERING

Given the uncertainty of the Rio Linda, Colusa, and Roseville projects, the Staff has requested that additional transmission sensitivity studies be run. In response to Data Request Set 4, SMUD has prepared a stability study, fault duty impact study, and voltage support sensitivity study. In each of these additional studies, there are negligible impacts to the system, and CPP provides significant local voltage support without adverse voltage support impacts. SMUD submitted two prior studies to the CEC, one of which encompasses the apparent worst cases under very unlikely conditions. The worst case study showed some impacts with all power plants operating, but the study does not include each of those projects' mitigation plans since they are not known. The additional studies offer no further insight to propose definitive mitigation, other than offering an operational scaleback solution.

BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

SMUD had habitat-based regional and site-specific surveys for biological resources done in 2000 and 2001 in preparation for the CPP effort. Based on these surveys, it is clear which habitats and which types of species could potentially be affected. SMUD also has refined the plant layout and linear alignments to avoid to the extent possible sensitive habitats that support listed and special concern species. There is good data on the range of habitats and species that could be affected. This year, SMUD conducted additional surveys to specifically quantify the number and extent of individuals (such as burrowing owl nests) or habitats (such as wetlands) that would be affected. Spring surveys

along the natural gas pipeline route are being implemented as seasonally appropriate (plants bloom during specific seasons) to confirm whether any listed species that could potentially occur, actually do occur in the corridor, e.g., tiger salamanders could occur in grassland habitats. SMUD has minimized project effects on this habitat type. Surveys for tiger salamander in March - May 2002 determined that no tiger salamanders were present along most of the pipeline. The spring surveys for the plant site have already been conducted. The spring surveys for the pipeline are being conducted this year because last spring the pipeline placement within the proposed corridor was not completely defined. However regional surveys last year were used to define areas of likely biological sensitivity and these areas are avoided by the alignment now proposed.

The exact timing for the spring surveys is seasonally dependent. Thus, the times when they may be conducted are outside of SMUD's control, and for most species are not necessary to determine impacts. Swainsons' hawk, burrowing owl and giant garter snake are known to occur in the region in appropriate habitats, and SMUD has designed the project to minimize impacts to these types of habitats. The appropriate season for surveying Swainsons' hawk and burrowing owl nests is approximately March through July, and is also outside SMUD's control. To date, only one burrowing owl nest has been located on the gas pipeline corridor. Up to 5 historical Swainsons' hawk nests are known to be within 1 mile of the corridor. SMUD will submit survey results to the CEC as soon as they have been completed. It is anticipated that seasonally appropriate surveys will be completed and the results submitted by June 10, 2002.

A wetland delineation of the 26-mile gas pipeline and project site has been completed. Although the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACOE) does not require that this information be overlaid on photos, this is a convenient way to present the data. It was not possible to acquire orthographically corrected aerial photos of the pipeline prior to wetland delineations. Jurisdictional wetlands are delineated in the field using real time corrected Global Positioning System (GPS), and these data are overlaid on non-corrected photos. These were submitted to Staff on March 29, 2002. In these aerial photos, the GPS outlines of features are accurate and precise, but do not match the photos, which are distorted. Orthographic photos of this type have typically been part of the compliance phase and have not been available prior to the PSA as CEC staff is requesting for this project. SMUD has contracted for orthographic corrected photographs, but they are not expected to be ready prior to the PSA. A precise overlay is being done and will be submitted to staff by late May. These photos will be especially useful in the construction and compliance phase to delineate areas of avoidance or minimization.

SMUD has met with the USACOE regarding the wetland delineation and anticipates submitting the application in mid-May. It is the responsibility and authority of the USACOE to determine if a Biological Assessment (BA) for consultation with the USFWS is appropriate or required. Because the CEC staff

has requested that a Biological Assessment be prepared, SMUD has prepared a "Biological Resources Assessment," which could form the basis of a BA, should the USACOE determine one is required. However, SMUD cannot prepare and circulate a document on behalf of a federal agency. Therefore, requests from the staff that SMUD provide a copy of the BA are outside SMUD's control, and would not be consistent with previous projects before the CEC.

The result of the USACOE application will be a "Section 404" permit, that will be conditioned on acquiring a Water Quality Waiver pursuant to "Section 401." Once the 404 is issued, the 401 is an approximately 90-day administrative process issued by the RWQCB.

For pipeline crossings of the Cosumnes, Badger, and Laguna Creeks, CDFG will require a Section 1600 Streambed Alteration Agreement. The application for the 1600 includes specifics of design in the crossings that include location, extent, methods of crossing and detailed explanation of the techniques to avoid contamination of surface water and riparian resources. SMUD has identified that all major streams would be crossed by horizontal directional drilling (HDD) during the dry season to minimize the potential for impacts. SMUD has met with the Cosumnes River Preserve staff to site locations of lower sensitivity and to modify (if necessary) the alignment of the HDD to comply with Preserve objectives. Although the details of precise location, depth, length, and diameter are not known, SMUD has identified that impacts to surface resources can be avoided with this technology. CDFG has acknowledged that while the details are not known, the basic technology of HDD, coupled with a "frac out" plan will be sufficient to avoid significant impacts and a 1603 authorization will be forthcoming. Once the design details are known (expected end of May), the Section 1603 authorization should follow in approximately 90 days.

WATER AND SOILS

SMUD is proposing to use 8,000 acre-feet/year of water from the Folsom-South Canal. An existing water service contract between SMUD and the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (USBR), dated November 20, 1970, provides for a delivery of a maximum of 75,000 acre-feet per year through Folsom-South Canal to the site. When the Folsom-South Canal was designed and completed in 1972, SMUD had intended to eventually be able to build a large enough powerplant(s) to generate 3,000 MW of power at Rancho Seco site. The amount of water available under the water service agreement would enable this amount of electricity to be generated.

As a contractual water right holder of the American River and the owner/operator of nine hydroelectric plants, SMUD is actively involved with the organizations and programs pertaining to improving the environmental health of the American River, while protecting the reliability and quality of water supplies for existing and

increasing demands on the American River water. For example, SMUD is a participant to the Water Forum Agreement, an agreement signed by 40 stakeholder organizations/agencies with the objectives of: 1) providing a reliable and safe water supply for the region's economic health and planned development; and 2) preserving the fishery, wildlife, recreational, and aesthetic values of the lower American River. SMUD also participates in the Water Forum Successor Efforts, as a representative of the Water Forum Coordinating Committee, which manages the major activities of the Water Forum.

SMUD has filed numerous data responses to address Staff's concerns regarding the project's water supply. SMUD is concerned about the Staff's interest in the use of dry-cooling or reclaimed water due to the environmental impact, energy inefficiency, and the increased cost to the project. Since SMUD is a municipal utility, these costs are ultimately borne by its customer-owners.

SMUD is also addressing other issues associated with the project's water supply and wastewater discharge. With regard to the project's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit application; SMUD filed an application for NPDES discharge on February 24, 2002. The RWQCB is required by their own policy to issue a letter specifying that the application is complete, or specifying what information is lacking within 30 days. To date, the RWQCB has not issued that letter. SMUD held a meeting with the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) on May 7, 2002 at which the RWQCB indicated they had not yet reviewed the application in enough detail to determine what their additional needs would be. However, the RWQCB made a commitment to provide that information quickly. This letter should resolve questions regarding the information needed to complete the NPDES application. Shortly thereafter, SMUD expects to obtain an official determination that the NPDES application is complete.

SMUD has addressed the CEC Staff's other Water Resources information requests in Data Responses filed on May 6, 2002.

ATTACHMENT C

**SMUD COSUMNES POWER PLANT PROJECT (01-AFC-19)
DATA REQUEST/RESPONSE MATRIX**

CPP DATA REQUEST TRACKING SHEET

Data Request Number	Data Request	Discipline	Date Due to CEC	Date Filed with CEC	Days to File	Comments
Data Response, Set 1 Issued on:		10-Dec-01				
1	Pursuant to the CEQA Guidelines, provide a detailed "no project" analysis.	Alternatives	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
2	Provide a separate figure clearly illustrating the Alternative Site 1 (Car...	Alternatives	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
3	According to Section 9.2.2.2.1 (page 9-3) of the AFC, Alternative Site...	Alternatives	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
4	It is difficult to determine Alternative Site 1's proximity to residential an...	Alternatives	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
5	For areas within a 1-mile radius of Alternative Site 1, provide a color map...	Alternatives	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
6	Describe how the proposed 30 acres of the 2,480 acres were selected &...	Alternatives	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
7	Please provide more detail (e.g., habitat types, spawning areas, jurisd...	Biological Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	
8	Please provide a schedule for when the Biological Assessment will be c...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
9	Please provide the temperature and total dissolved solids limitations fr...	Biological Resources	18-Jan	18-Jan	39	
10	Provide information on whether the blowdown water will be discharge...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
11	Provide monthly average water temperatures in Clay Creek and the ear...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
12	Provide a map showing the location of the proposed outfall, and describ...	Biological Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	
13	Provide a rate of flow information for Clay Creek. Describe how adding th...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
14	Provide an analysis of the anticipated percentage of the overall volume...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
15	Provide a figure of the location of the 1.5-acre storm water detention po...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
16	Please provide a draft BRMIMP with the following additional sections:...	Biological Resources	4-Feb	19-Mar	99	
17	Provide copies of the CNDDDB forms that were filled out during biologic...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	4-Feb	56	
18	Please provide the wetland delineation surveys that were completed &...	Biological Resources	7-Jun	4-Feb	56	Partial Response on 2/4. Reasked as #203
19	Provide a figure (or aerial photos) with a scale of 1" = 100' outlining the...	Biological Resources	29-Mar	29-Mar	109	Reasked in DR#206 and 207
20	Provide a table that estimates the amount of wetland habitat that may b...	Biological Resources	29-Mar	29-Mar	109	Partial Response on 3/29. Reasked in DR#206 and 207
21	Provide the timeline for when the proposed laydown area would be initi...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
22	Provide a draft of the laydown area restoration and revegetation plan.	Biological Resources	20-Feb	6-May	147	
23	Provide information on how the stream channel (that is seen on the aeri...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
24	Identify who did the surveys, methods used, biologist qualifications, d...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
25	Please clarify which special-status species may be present within 1 m...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental material filed 3/29
26	If there are special-status species that were not described in AFC Sect...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
27	Identify whether the species were observed in any of the surveys cond...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
28	Please discuss all areas of critical concern (as defined in section 1702(...	Biological Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
29	If VELB surveys were conducted for the project site and all project line...	Biological Resources	7-Jun	29-Mar	109	Status update on 3/19
30	If California tiger salamanders surveys were conducted for the projects...	Biological Resources	7-Jun			Status update on 3/19 and 3/29
31	If California tiger salamander [Burrowing Owl] surveys were conducte...	Biological Resources	29-Mar	29-Mar	109	Reasked in DR#204
32	Please identify all structures, facilities and features that are more than...	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental material filed 2/4
33	Please provide a list of any historical resources listed on Sacramento C...	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental material filed 2/4
34	If local historical societies and archaeological societies were not conta...	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental material filed 2/4
35	Please submit all cultural resource survey reports that provide the me...	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Reasked in DR#208
36	For the surveys conducted specifically for the Cosumnes Power Plant...	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental material filed 2/4
37	Provide copies of all DPR 523 site record forms for cultural resources in...	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental material filed 2/4
38	Provide the dimensions of the proposed Area of Potential Effects (APE...	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental material filed 2/4
39	Please provide a plan to avoid (the plans should include, but not be limite...	Cultural Resources	28-Feb	29-Mar	109	
40	On maps 1-6 of Confidential Appendix 8.3D, please identify what area...	Cultural Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Confidential
41	Please survey and provide survey information for the parking and layd...	Cultural Resources	4-Feb	15-Feb	67	Confidential
42	Identify the location of any areas that will be used as pipe or equipments...	Cultural Resources	4-Feb	15-Feb	67	Confidential
43	If cultural resources are present, please provide completed DPR 523 fi...	Cultural Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Confidential
44	Please provide the results of a records search that extends 1/2-mile from...	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	15-Apr	126	Addressed in Supplement B
45	Please conduct an archaeological pedestrian survey that extends to a...	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	15-Apr	126	Addressed in Supplement B

CPPDATA REQUEST TRACKING SHEET

Data Request Number	Data Request	Discipline	Date Due to CEC	Date Filed with CEC	Days to File	Comments
46	Please conduct an historic resource survey that extends to a minimum of 1/4 mile on each side of the proposed and alternative routes.	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	15-Apr	126	Addressed in Supplement B
47	Describe avoidance procedures for any cultural resource that are identified.	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	15-Apr	126	Addressed in Supplement B
48	If it is not possible to avoid the cultural resource(s), please provide an evaluation of the potential impacts.	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	15-Apr	126	
49	Please identify the location of areas considered "high probability" areas.	Cultural Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Confidential
50	Please provide a discussion of other projects (in permitting or currently in construction) that may affect the same area.	Cultural Resources	1-Mar	19-Mar	99	
51	Please provide a discussion of the cumulative impacts relevant to the project.	Cultural Resources	1-Mar	19-Mar	99	
52	Please send an additional letter to members of the Native American Community.	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental material filed 2/4
53	Provide copies of the letter to and responses from Native Americans.	Cultural Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental material filed 2/4
54	Please provide a discussion of the geotechnical stability of the dam and abutments.	Geology	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
55	Please identify and describe the "other major existing land uses" cumulative impacts.	Land Use	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
56	Please provide a map that shows the location of all cumulative projects.	Land Use	4-Feb	19-Mar	99	Status Rept 2/4
57	Please identify any pertinent LORS that the City of Elk Grove has related to the project.	Land Use	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
58	Please provide figures similar to AFC Figure 8.4-1 for the entire natural resource area.	Land Use	Objected	4-Feb	56	Partial response 1/9
59	Please provide maps similar to AFC Figure 6.1-1 with more roads and land use.	Land Use	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
60	Please complete the California LESA application prepared by the California Department of Transportation.	Land Use	Objected	15-Feb	67	
61	For areas within 1/4-mile on each side of the proposed and alternative routes, provide a description of the following:	Land Use	Objected			
61a	General plan and used designations,	Land Use	Objected	4-Feb	56	
61b	Zoning ordinance designations, and	Land Use	Objected	9-Jan	30	Partial response 1/9
61c	Existing land use types.	Land Use	Objected	9-Jan	30	Partial response 1/9
62	Please provide an acoustic analysis to address compliance with and	Noise	4-Feb	15-Mar	95	Supplement A
63	Please provide a map of all existing noise receptors that are	Noise	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
64	Please provide a map of all existing noise receptors that are	Noise	4-Feb	15-Mar	95	Supplement A
65	Using the responses to the two previous questions, please address the	Noise	4-Feb			See Peasha's Noise Data Response
66	Please provide a description of potential locations for piledriving, and	Noise	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
67	Please provide a description of potential locations where horizontal	Noise	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
68	Please describe typical time requirements for horizontal drilling at any	Noise	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
69	Provide figures at a scale similar to AFC Figure 6.2-1 (approximately 1'	Project Description	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplement B
70	Please provide a schedule for the construction of the new pipeline.	Project Description	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplement B
71	Please explain when the information (biological surveys, cultural reso	Project Description	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplement B
72	Please explain why SMUD is not proposing to construct only one pipe	Project Description	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplement B
73	Please specify the location of any sensitive receptors along the [truck] r	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
74	Please provide information on the impact that the proposed PG&E pipe	Traffic and Transportation				
74a	a description of the affected roadways,	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
74b	the current level of service (LOS) for roadways impacted by the pipeline	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
74c	the location of the pipeline within the roadway,	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
74d	the number of traffic lanes to be closed,	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
74e	the amount of roadway under construction at any one time.	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
74f	the impact on traffic flow,	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
74g	anticipated traffic control measures that will be used, and	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
74h	discussion of the type of construction activity.	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
75	Please supply information on any public transportation routes or servi	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
76	Please identify any roadways with bicycle routes and the impact the ec	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
77	If bicycle routes exist on any of the roadways impacted, indicate what s	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
78	Please indicate the expected traffic route for the construction work forc	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
79	Please provide information based on SMUD experience with constructi	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
80	Please provide a table indicating the number and type of truck trips per	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
81	Please supply location information (i.e., addresses, or location near	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
82	Please discuss the steps the applicant will take to ensure that the powe	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	

CPPDATA REQUEST TRACKING SHEET

Data Request Number	Data Request	Discipline	Date Due to CEC	Date Filed with CEC	Days to File	Comments
83	Please provide information based on your plume analysis for:	Traffic and Transportation				
83a	the roadway that might be impacted,	Traffic and Transportation	18-Jan	18-Jan	39	
83b	the expected frequency and duration of traffic impacts from ground fog	Traffic and Transportation	18-Jan	18-Jan	39	
83c	the traffic safety issues resulting from the plumes.	Traffic and Transportation	18-Jan	18-Jan	39	
84	Please discuss the applicant's plans for mitigating any traffic safety	Traffic and Transportation	18-Jan	18-Jan	39	
85	Please provide a description of the coordination efforts with Union Pac	Traffic and Transportation	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
86	Please include the Colusa and Roseville projects in the SIS. Analyze t	Transmission System Engr.				
86a	Identify major assumptions in the base cases including import to the	Transmission System Engr.	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	
86b	Analyze system for N-0, important N-1 and critical N-2 contingency cc	Transmission System Engr.	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	
86c	Provide a list of contingencies evaluated for each study.	Transmission System Engr.	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	
86d	Provide power flow diagrams (MW, % loading & per unit voltage) for ba	Transmission System Engr.	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	
86e	List mitigation measures considered and those selected for all criteria	Transmission System Engr.	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	
86f	Provide electronic copies of *.sav and *.drw PSLF files.	Transmission System Engr.	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	
87	Please provide three sets of electronic files on CD of the following figur	Visual Resources and Plumes	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	
88	Please provide three sets of electronic files on CD of the revision sto ex	Visual Resources and Plumes	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	
89	Please explain whether or not any above ground facilities would be req	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
90	Please identify the number of residences that would have views of the	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
91	For a typical pipeline construction spread, please describe the constr	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
92	Please identify the location of the package water treatment plant and di	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
93	Please identify the height of the existing transmission tower that woul	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
94	Please revise Figure 2.2-2 to specify structure heights.	Visual Resources and Plumes	18-Jan	18-Jan	39	
95	Please revise the setting and simulation images for KOPs 1 and 2 to	Visual Resources and Plumes	4-Feb	15-Mar	95	Supplement A
96	Please revise Figures 8.11-2b (KOP 1) and 8.11-3b (KOP 2) to show th	Visual Resources and Plumes	4-Feb	15-Mar	95	Supplement A
97	Please specify the heights of the currently proposed tubular transmiss	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
98	Please provide additional detail about the landscape plan including sp	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
99	Please provide revised landscape plan to include landscape screeni	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
100	For KOPs 1 and 2, please provide five photocopies of high-resolution	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
101	Please describe existing visible night lighting at the project site and the	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
102	For KOPs 1 and 2, please provide photocopies of high-resolution 11"x	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
103	Please describe the extent to which night lighting during project opera	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
104	Please identify whether or not facility stack lighting would be required	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
105	Please describe night lighting to be used during project construction.	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
106	Please verify if there are any other plume sources within five miles of the	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
107	Please complete the following table [Table 1] of operating parameters	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	4-Feb	56	
108	Please provide, at a minimum, the operating exhaust temperatures ar	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	4-Feb	56	
109	For staff to conduct CSVP modeling of the plume abated HRSG exhaust	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Material Added on Feb 15 (1D)
110	Please identify the minimum ambient temperature where inlet air fog	Visual Resources and Plumes	9-Jan	4-Feb	56	
111	Please provide detail on the feasibility of alternative water supply and	Water and Soil Resources				
111a	The use of treated wastewater from the GWTP and SRWTP;	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
111b	Drilling on sites supply well;	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
111c	Imported brackish or irrigation return water;	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
111d	Hybrid wet-dry cooling or spray-enhanced dry cooling (to reduce make	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
111e	Wastewater zero-discharge; and,	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
111f	Recovery of water from cooling tower blowdown by use of reverse osm	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
112	The analysis should include a discussion of the following. Data and res	Water and Soil Resources				
112a	Alternative water sources currently available and projected to be avail	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
112b	Impacts of water use and wastewater discharge in comparison to thos	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
112c	Economic impacts (capital and operating costs including water purc	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8

CPP DATA REQUEST TRACKING SHEET

Data Request Number	Data Request	Discipline	Date Due to CEC	Date Filed with CEC	Days to File	Comments
112d	Changes in plant and linear facility infrastructure; and,	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
112e	Changes in plant efficiency and output.	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
113	What constituent(s) limit wet cooling tower cycles of concentration?	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
114	What forms of silica are anticipated in the make-up water supply?	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
115	Twenty cubic feet per second (cfs) or 39.7 acre-feet per day (AF)	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
116	Will the CPP be able to secure a contract augmentation for additional water?	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
117	What is SMUD's projected water demand for all other water uses over the next 10 years?	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
118	Please provide a draft Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
119	Please provide a draft erosion control plan for plant operation to include	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
120	Please provide written evidence of consultation with Sacramento County	Water and Soil Resources	1-Aug			Reasked in DR#244
121	Please provide a preliminary SWPPP consistent with the requirements of the	Water and Soil Resources				
121a	as it maps,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
121b	a list of significant materials handled and stored at the site,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
121c	a description and assessment of potential pollutant sources,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
121d	a description of proposed storm water BMPs intended for use at the site,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
121e	a description of proposed BMP goals and monitoring protocol for achieving	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122	Storm water mitigation measures shall be addressed in the SWPPP	Water and Soil Resources				
122a	storm drain inlet protection to prevent sedimentation-laden runoff from	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122b	silt fence or straw bale barriers at least 250 feet spacing,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122c	secondary containment for hazardous materials,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122d	designated storage areas for construction wastes,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122e	spill prevention and control plan,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122f	storage of all liquid wastes in covered containers,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122g	emergency spill containment kits,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122h	routine maintenance of oil/water separator system,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122i	use of geotextiles and mats to stabilize slopes,	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122j	soil stabilizer to minimize dust, and	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122k	temporary and permanent vegetation strategies.	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
122l	Additional measures may be needed to meet special Inland Surface Water	Water and Soil Resources	4-Feb	4-Feb	56	Reasked in DR#244
123	Please provide an estimate of the relative contribution of the CPP discharge	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Reasked in DR#236
124	Please provide records of Folsom-South Canal, Rancho Seco Plant, and	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Reasked in DR#236
125	What process will be used to add/delete beneficial downstream uses,	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
126	Will background water quality influence water quality objectives or will	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
127	Will habitat maintenance (maximum/minimum flows) be addressed?	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
128	Please provide the most recent Inland Surface Waters Plan water quality	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
129	Some indicator parameters monitored at other ephemeral and effluent	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
130	Please explain how California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG);	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
131	What short-term contingencies such as storage, diversion, or control?	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
132	What is the schedule for issuance of the draft and final NPDES permit?	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
133	Please provide the hydrologic back-up calculations, including maps of	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Reasked in DR#245
134	Please provide a hydrologic reservoir routing analysis for the proposed	Water and Soil Resources	18-Jan	18-Jan	39	Reasked in DR#245
135	Please provide more discussion of the rationale for using the 10-year, 2	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Partial response: Rational not provided
136	Please provide a conceptual design of the detention basin embankment	Water and Soil Resources	3rd Qtr 2002	6-May	147	See DR#245. SMUD to move up in design queue (per Feb 4)
137	In light of possible "very stringent" NPDES effluent discharge criteria,	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
138	Please show all proposed and existing contours on grading plans. Show	Water and Soil Resources	3rd Qtr 2002	6-May	147	Partial response. SMUD to move up in design queue (per Feb 4)
139	How will floating goil and debris be removed from storm water runoff on a	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
140	To reduce the impact of a storm water discharge on downstream users?	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	18-Jan	39	Jan 9 response replaced on Jan 18
141	How will spill containment will be provided for each chemical truck unloading	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	

CPP DATA REQUEST TRACKING SHEET

Data Request Number	Data Request	Discipline	Date Due to CEC	Date Filed with CEC	Days to File	Comments
142	Please describe how sufficient spill storage volume will be provided to	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
143	Please provide a hydrologic analysis to determine the estimated 100-	Water and Soil Resources	Object	19-Mar	99	Objection letter
144	Please provide a hydraulic analysis using the USACE HEC-RAS (or)	Water and Soil Resources	Object	19-Mar	99	Objection letter
145	Show existing and 100-year flood plain on Figure 8.14-4R, and provide	Water and Soil Resources	Object	19-Mar	99	Objection letter
146	At locations where the 100-year flood plain would encroach on proposed	Water and Soil Resources	Object	19-Mar	99	Objection letter
147	Please provide a mapping of all proposed impacts to riparian areas and	Water and Soil Resources	15-Mar			Reasked in DR#251
148	Please provide evidence of consultation with the USCOE, RWQCB, and	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Response updated on 2/15
149	Please provide mitigation measures for avoiding damage to the pipeline	Water and Soil Resources	Object	Object		
150	Please provide heat and material balances for average and 99% conditions	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 2/4
151	In tabular form, please provide historical annual consumption by month	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Objected--but provided information; Supplemental Response filed
152	Please provide an assessment of potential downstream outflow impacts	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
153	Please explain the basis for the various water consumption rates and the	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Calcification filed 2/4
154	How will condenser design, cleanliness, and performance factors be	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
155	What is the anticipated condenser cleaning frequency, method, volume	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Reasked in DR#240
156	Please provide a process flow diagram and description of how the water	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 2/4
157	Clarifiers are very efficient at removing sand and silt particles, but effluent	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 2/4
158	Please assess alternate cooling processes that will provide more efficient	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
159	Please provide a range (min/ave/max) of anticipated make-up water	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
160	Please provide an explanation of the total and soluble fraction of each	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
161	In other applications having very stringent discharge criteria, one or more	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	Supplemental Response filed 3/8
162	The San Joaquin River at Antioch is listed as an impaired waterway for	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
163	How will cooling loop and blow-down solids, chlorides residual, and trihalo	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
164	Table 8.14-3 needs to be updated to reflect the most recent estimate of	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
165	Section 8.14.4.1 states that antiscalants and anti-fouling chemicals	Water and Soil Resources	9-Jan	9-Jan	30	
Averaged days past request date					46	
Questions partially or completely unanswered					3	

CPPDATA REQUEST TRACKING SHEET

Data Request Number	Data Request	Discipline	Primary Author	Date Due to CEC	Date Filed with CEC	Days to File	Comments
Data Response, Set 2 Issued on:		4-Jan-02					
166	Please provide vendor information related to the control efficiency of the	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	Additional data was submitted 5/6 (Set 2D)
167	Please revise the emissions calculations using the highest PG&E gas	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
168	Please provide a revised inter-pollutant offset analysis taking into account	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
169	Please identify the appropriate SO2 mitigation measures for the proposed	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
170	Please provide documentation that indicates that additional emissions	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
171	Please provide emissions information and emission mitigation measures	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
172	Please provide a demonstration that the project's NOx emissions will be	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
173	Please provide a demonstration that the project's PM10 emissions will be	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
174	Please provide a revised BACT analysis that adequately responds to the	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
175	Please provide documentation to demonstrate why ammonia slips are	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
176	Please advise as to the status of obtaining a list of projects that will be	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
177	Please provide a description of the length of each commissioning activity	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	15-Feb	42	Submitted on Feb 4, but revised on Feb 15 (Set 2B)
178	Please provide a discussion of any proposed mitigation. If no mitigation	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
179	Please provide proposed language for consideration for permit conditions	Air Quality	Sierra Research	4-Feb	15-Feb	42	Submitted on Feb 4, but revised on Feb 15 (Set 2B)
180	Please list the total number of hazardous materials deliveries expected	Hazardous Materials	Karen Parker	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
180a	Tanker trucks carrying > 1000 gallons of liquid hazardous materials.	Hazardous Materials	Karen Parker	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
180b	Tanker trucks carrying < 1000 gallons of liquid hazardous materials.	Hazardous Materials	Karen Parker	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
180c	Trucks delivering carboy/s or 55-gal drums of liquid hazardous materials	Hazardous Materials	Karen Parker	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
180d	Trucks delivering compressed gas.	Hazardous Materials	Karen Parker	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
180e	Trucks delivering solid hazardous materials in any amount.	Hazardous Materials	Karen Parker	4-Feb	4-Feb	31	
181	Please provide the OCA for aqueous ammonia described in AFC Section	Hazardous Materials	Karen Parker	15-Feb	19-Mar	74	
182	Please provide a schematic diagram and narrative describing the proposed	Hazardous Materials	Karen Parker	4-Feb	19-Mar	74	
183	Please provide a complete Phase I ESA for the 30-acre site, lay down a	Waste Management	Karen Parker	15-Mar	19-Mar	74	Submitted Mar 19, Updated on Apr 16 to respond to CEC comments
Averaged days past request date						39	
Questions partially or completely unanswered						0	

CPP DATA REQUEST TRACKING SHEET

Data Request Number	Data Request	Discipline	Date Due to CEC	Date Filed with CEC	Days to File	Comments
Data Response, Set 3 Issued on:		5-Apr-02				
184	Please provide all correspondence, including those by means of elect	Air Quality	6-May	6-May	31	Confidential
185	Please provide any additional information regarding offsets that werer	Air Quality	6-May	6-May	31	Confidential
186	Provide a figure that shows where heritagetrees are located along thep	Biology	6-May	6-May	31	
187	If any heritagetrees are identified along the proposed pipeline, discuss	Biology	6-May	6-May	31	
188	Provide an updated table that includes all of the following: any changes t	Biology	15-May			
189	Provide updated Figures 6.1-1 through 6.1-6 that depict where the layc	Biology	6-May	15-Apr	10	
190	Provide a letter from the Cosumnes River Preserve Manager that state	Biology	6-May	6-May	31	
191	Provide a letter from the CDFG that states that they have been consulte	Biology	6-May			
192	Provide information on whether construction activities are legally com	Biology	6-May	15-Apr	10	
193	Please discuss the feasibility of alternative routes to avoid the mitigatio	Biology	6-May	15-Apr	10	
194	Identify what the potential impacts are from the addition of the gas pipe	Biology	6-May	15-Apr	10	
195	Provide a figure with a scale of 1"=500' that shows the compressor and	Biology	6-May	15-Apr	10	
196	Please identify how impacts to sandhill cranes will be avoided during the	Biology	6-May	15-Apr	10	
197	Provide a new figure at a scale of 1"=500' that depicts the proposed tran	Biology	6-May	6-May	31	
198	Provide information on construction impacts from the transmission line	Biology	6-May	6-May	31	Submitted Apr 15; Revised on May 6, Set 3B
199	Will a road be maintained along the transmission line route to do routine	Biology	6-May	15-Apr	10	
200	Provide a letter from the ACOE that they will act as lead agency for the Cc	Biology	6-May	6-May	31	
201	Provide a new proposed schedule that identifies when the Biological As	Biology	6-May	6-May	31	
202	Provide a replant survey results for areas along the gas pipeline in area	Biology	10-Jun	10-Jun		
203	Please provide a copy of the Figure Exhibit 1 from the Davis Environmei	Biology	6-May	6-May	31	
204	Provide results for burrowing owl nesting season surveys (field survey)	Biology	6-May	15-Apr	10	
205	Provide information on where habitat compensation can be acquired dir	Biology	6-May	6-May	31	
206	Please provide the wetland delineations survey that were completed for	Biology				
206a	Wetland Figures		10-Jun	6-May	31	Prelim versions provide 3/29 and 5/6
206b	Wetland Delineation					
206c	Submission Schedule			6-May	31	
207	Please provide a figure and table that satisfies the requests of Data Rec	Biology	6-May	6-May	31	Partially answered
208	Please provide a copy of the EBASCO 92 report.	Cultural Resources	6-May	15-Apr	10	
209	Please provide the names and qualifications of the persons that condu	Cultural Resources	6-May	15-Apr	10	
210	When will these areas be surveyed? Please provide the survey results.	Cultural Resources	10-Jun	6-May	31	Partially answered; want P/A results
211	The power generation facility is to be contained on a 30-acre portion of t	Land Use	6-May	15-Apr	10	
212	Please explain whether the applicant is going to be required to file a par	Land Use	6-May	15-Apr	10	
213	If not, explain the land division procedure used to create the parcel(s) to	Land Use	6-May	15-Apr	10	
214	Does the applicant have two legal parcels or some other number of par	Land Use	6-May	15-Apr	10	
215	Provide a copy of the recorded final map, lot line adjustment map, or Ce	Land Use	6-May	15-Apr	10	
216	Please provide an agricultural loss mitigation plan.	Land Use	6-May	15-Apr	10	
217	Please provide the land use and zoning designations, existing and surr	Land Use	6-May	15-Apr	10	
218	Please provide the revised noise analysis that reflects the revised noise	Noise	6-May	15-Apr	10	
219	Please state whether the mobile home on Clay East Road will be reloca	Noise	6-May	15-Apr	10	Partially answered
220	If the mobile home is to be relocated, please revise the noise analysis to	Noise	6-May	6-May	31	

CPPDATA REQUEST TRACKING SHEET

Data Request Number	Data Request	Discipline	Date Due to CEC	Date Filed with CEC	Days to File	Comments
221	Please provide a supplement to the AFC that fully describes the setting.	Project Description	6-May	15-Apr	10	
222	Please provide a list of property owners and mailing addresses within 1/4 mile of the project.	Project Description	6-May	15-Apr	10	
223	Please provide revised maps of the alignment for those areas where the alignment is within 1/4 mile of the project.	Project Description	6-May	15-Apr	10	
224	Please indicate the route to be used for the heavy-weighted trucks and trailers.	Traffic & Transport	6-May	15-Apr	10	
225	Please indicate how the applicant determined that the roadways and the existing railroads are suitable for the proposed project.	Traffic & Transport	6-May	15-Apr	10	
226	Has SMUD determined whether the existing Rancho Seco rail spur will be used for the proposed project?	Traffic & Transport	6-May	15-Apr	10	
227	Please indicate the precautions and mitigation measures the applicant will take to avoid or minimize impacts on the project.	Traffic & Transport	6-May	15-Apr	10	
228	Please indicate the mitigation measures that will be taken by the CPP to avoid or minimize impacts on the project.	Traffic & Transport	6-May	15-Apr	10	
229	Please provide a complete Phase I ESA for the 26-mile gas pipeline corridor.	Waste Mgmt	Object	Object		
230	Please prepare and implement a Sampling and Analysis Plan for the site.	Waste Mgmt	6-May	6-May		
231	Please provide a schedule for the decommissioning of the Rancho Seco rail spur.	Waste Mgmt	6-May	6-May	31	
232	Please provide a description and a map of Rancho Seco's Nuclear Facility.	Waste Mgmt	6-May	6-May	31	
233	Please provide a schedule for submittal of a complete NPDES application.	Water & Soil	6-May	15-Apr	10	Partially answered
234	Please provide a copy of the complete NPDES application including groundwater monitoring data.	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	Partially answered
235	Please provide a copy of the accepted ROWD that includes discharge requirements.	Water & Soil	10-Jun			
236	Please provide a detailed discussion of the relative contribution of CPP to the project.	Water & Soil	Object	Object		
237	Please provide representative flow records for the Folsom-South Canyons.	Water & Soil	Object	Object		
238	Please explain the discrepancy between the 19.5 cfs and 12.26 cfs values.	Water & Soil	Object	Object		
239	What will be the discharge requirements for RSP after all fuel rods are replaced?	Water & Soil	Object	Object		
240	Based on CVRWQCB's determination that ZLD is BPT, please provide a schedule for the project.	Water & Soil	Object	Object		
241	Please provide at least 10 representative well logs within a 2-mile radius of the project.	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	Confidential
242	Figure 8.15-2 is too generalized and does not provide adequate detail.	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	
243	Please provide an analysis of alternate site configurations that would avoid or minimize impacts on the project.	Water & Soil	6-May	15-Apr	10	
244	Please provide the revised draft plans (grading, erosion control & sediment control).	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	
245	Please provide a conceptual stage/storage/outflow relationship for the project.	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	
246	Please provide a stormwater management design that complies with the project.	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	
247	Please provide a map showing the location of the 100-year flood plain.	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	
248	Please provide 100-year discharge for the Clay Creek tributaries that flow into the project.	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	
249	Please provide conceptual descriptions and hydraulic capacities of the project.	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	
250	Please provide post-development flow velocities adjacent to structure.	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	
251	Please provide the mapping and completed applications referred to in the project.	Water & Soil	6-May	6-May	31	
252	Please provide a copy of SMUD's responses to the additional information requested.	Water & Soil	6-May	15-Apr	10	
253	For the parallel recycled water pipeline, please provide those coordinates.	Water & Soil	6-May	15-Apr	10	
Averaged days past request date					20	
Questions partially or completely unanswered					9	

CPPDATAREQUESTTRACKINGSHEET

Data Request Number	DataRequest	Discipline	PrimaryAuthor	DateDue to CEC	DateFiled with CEC	Daysto File	Comments
DataResponse,Set4Issuedon:		10-Apr-02					
254	Please providestabilitystudiesforthetransmissionfacilitieswithan	TSE	GilButler	10-May-02	10-May-02	30	
255	Please providefaultdutyimpactstudiesforthetransmissionfacilitie	TSE	GilButler	10-May-02	10-May-02	30	
256	Please identifytheproposedandselectedmitigationmeasuresforcr	TSE	GilButler	10-May-02	10-May-02	30	
257	Please providevoltagesupportanalysis,includingimpacts/benefits	TSE	GilButler	10-May-02	10-May-02	30	
Averagedayspastrequestdate						30	
Questionspartiallyorcompletelyunanswered						0	

CPPDATARESPONSESBYSET

CECDATA REQUEST DATE	DATA RESPONSE SET	DATA RESPONSES INCLUDED	DISCIPLINE	DATE OF DATA RESPONSE
10-Dec-01	Set1A	1,2,3,4,5,6,8,10,11,13,14,15,17,21,24,25, 26,27,28,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,44,45, 46,47,48,50,51,52,53,54,55,56,57,58 (partial),59,60,61(partial),63,66,67,68,69,70, 71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,81,82,85, 89,90,91,92,93,96,97,98,99,100,101,102, 103,104,105,106,107,108,109,110,111,112, 113,114,115,116,117,120(partial),123,124, 125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,135, 136,137,138,139,140,141,142,143,144,145, 146,147(partial),148,149,150,151,152,153, 154,155,156,157,158,159,160,161,162,163, 164,165	BiologicalResources,CulturalResources, Geology,Noise,Traffic&Transportation, VisualResourcesandPlumes,Water&Soil Resources,Alternatives,Transmission SystemEngineering,	9-Jan-02
	Set1B	9,83,84,94,134,139	AirQuality,BiologicalResources,Traffic& Transportation,VisualResources& Plumes,Water&SoilResources,	18-Jan-02
	Set1C	7,12,16,17,18(partial),32,34,35,36,37,38,39, 40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52, 53,56,58,60,61,62,64,86,87,88,95,96,107, 108,110,118,119,121,122,136,138,151,153, 156,157	BiologicalResources,CulturalResources, LandUse,Noise,VisualResources& Plumes,Water&SoilResources, TransmissionSystemEngineering	4-Feb-02
	Set1D	41,42,60,109,148	CulturalResources,LandUse,Visual Resources&Plumes,Water&Soil Resources,	15-Feb-02
	Set1E	111,112,116,152,161	Water&SoilResources	8-Mar-02
	Set1F			11-Mar-02
	Set1G	16,22,29,30(partial),31,50,51,56,143,144, 145,146	BiologicalResources,CulturalResources, LandUse,Water&SoilResources,	19-Mar-02
	Set1H	19(partial),20,25,29,30,31,39	BiologicalResources,CulturalResources,	29-Mar-02
	Set1I	22	BiologicalResources	6-May-02
4-Jan-02	Set2A	166,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,174,175, 176,177,178,179,180,182	AirQuality,HazardousMaterials,Waste Management	4-Feb-02
	Set2B	177,179,181,183	AirQuality,HazardousMaterials,Waste Management	Feb15,02
	Set2C	181,182,183	HazardousMaterials,WasteManagement	19-Mar-02
	Set2D	166	AirQuality	6-May-02
5-Apr-02	Set3A	192,193,194,195,196,198,199,204,205,207 (partial),208,209,210(partial),211,212,213,214, 215,216,217,218,219(partial),221,222,223, 224,225,226,227,228,229,230,233(partial), 236,237,238,239,240,252,253	AirQuality,BiologicalResources,Cultural Resources,LandUse,Noise,Traffic& Transportation,Water&SoilResources, WasteManagement	15-Apr-2002
	Set3B	184,185,186,187,190,197,198,200,201,203, 206(partial),207(partial),220,230(partial),231, 232,241,242,244,245,246,247,248,249,250, 251(partial)	AirQuality,BiologicalResources,Noise, WasteManagement,Water&Soil Resources	6-May-02
10-Apr-02	Set4A	254,255,256,257	TSE	10-May-02
InformalDataResponses				
23-Apr-02	Latteri		Water&SoilResources	2-May-02
DataAdequacySupplement				13-Nov-01
AFCSupplementA				15-Mar-02
AFCSupplementB				15-Apr-02